



BULLETIN OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE AND MANAGEMENT

<http://journal.hibiscuspublisher.com/index.php/BESM>



Short Communication

Test for the Presence of Autocorrelation in the Buchanan-three-phase Model used in the Growth of *Moraxella* sp. B on Monobromoacetic acid (MBA)

Shukor, M.S.^{1*}, Masdor, N.A.², Shamaan, N.A.³, Ahmad, S.A.⁴, Roslan, M.A.H.⁴ and Shukor, M.Y.^{1,4*}

¹Snoc International Sdn Bhd, Lot 343, Jalan 7/16 Kawasan Perindustrian Nilai 7, Inland Port, 71800, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia.

²Biotechnology Research Centre, MARDI, P. O. Box 12301, 50774 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

³Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Sains Islam Malaysia, 13th Floor, Menara B, Persiaran MPAJ, Jalan Pandan Utama, Pandan Indah, 55100 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

⁴Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, UPM 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia.

*Corresponding author:

Snoc International Sdn Bhd, Lot 343, Jalan 7/16 Kawasan Perindustrian Nilai 7, Inland Port, 71800, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia.

²Biotechnology Research Centre, MARDI, P. O. Box 12301, 50774 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Email: mshukri@mykocom.com

Tel: +60 3-8926 3950

Fax: +3-8926 3950

HISTORY

Received: 1st May 2015
Received in revised form: 16th June 2015
Accepted: 16th of July 2015

KEYWORDS

Monobromoacetic acid-degrading
Buchanan-three-phase model
Moraxella sp. B
Least square method
autocorrelation

ABSTRACT

Monohalogenated acetic acids usage are widespread in industry and agriculture. They form precursors to chemicals and as herbicides, respectively. Monobromoacetic acid (MBA) is a chemical intermediate for the manufacturing of various chemicals with application in agriculture and pharmacy. Bioremediation of monobromoacetic acid has been touted as a more economical and feasible method compared to physical and chemical approaches. Previously, we model the growth of growth of *Moraxella* sp. B on monobromoacetic acid from published literature to obtain vital growth constants. We discovered that the Buchanan-three-phase model via nonlinear regression utilizing the least square method was the very best model to explain the growth curve. Nonlinear regression utilizing the least square method typically utilizes the idea that data points usually do not depend upon each other or the value of a data point is not determined by the value of previous or proceeding data points or usually do not display autocorrelation. In this work, the Durbin-Watson statistic to check for the presence of autocorrelation in the growth model was carried out.

INTRODUCTION

Monobromoacetic acid (MBA) is also known as bromoethanoic acid, α -Bromoacetic acid, Monobromoacetic acid and carboxymethyl bromide has been used as a chemical intermediate for manufacturing of various chemicals with application in agriculture and pharmacy [1]. Several different bacteria are known to aerobically degrade HAAs either cometabolically [2] or as a sole carbon and energy source [1,3–8]. The strain *Moraxella* sp. B [9] possesses two haloacid dehalogenases, one active with all monohaloacetates and the other active with MCA and MBA [10]. Torz et al [7] study the growth of this bacterium on monohaloacetates including MBA. The growth curve exhibited sigmoidal properties, but the authors did not capitalize the existence of various primary

growth models in order to obtain important growth constants that can be used in further modelling. The growth profile of the strain showed inhibition of growth at elevated concentrations of MBA. Modelling of the growth curves can yield important parameters that could be used for further secondary modelling exercise such as the inhibitory effect of substrate on growth.

We have utilized several growth models to model the growth of *Moraxella* sp. B on monobromoacetic acid. We discovered that the Buchanan-three-phase model via nonlinear regression utilizing the least square method was the best model to describe the growth curve [11]. Nonlinear regression utilizing the least square method typically uses the assumption that data points usually do not depend upon each other or the value of a data point is not dependent on the value of previous or proceeding data points. Autocorrelation between data sometimes happens

because of events for example temperature drift during time measurements or an overused tungsten lamp in a spectrophotometer. If one would count the quantity of animals each year in a given area the data will be extremely autocorrelated and nonindependence as the quantity of animals within an existing year will be highly influenced by the quantity of animals in the last year [12]. This is very similar to growth of microorganisms where the increase in cellular number in a given time frame can be exponentially fast and any event in time that effect the current or past number of cells would be seen in an amplified manner in future times.

In this work, the Durbin-Watson statistic for the presence of autocorrelation in the growth of *Moraxella* sp. B on monobromoacetic acid as modelled using the Buchanan-three-phase model would be used. The method calculates the level of significance according to the method outlined by Draper and Smith [13].

METHODS

Acquisition of Data

In order to process the data, the graphs were scanned and electronically processed using WebPlotDigitizer 2.5 [14] which helps to digitize scanned plots into table of data with good enough precision [15]. Data were acquired from the works of Torz et al. [7] from Figure 1 and then replotted (Fig. 1, with permission) [11].




Fig. 1. Growth curves of *Moraxella* sp. B on monobromoacetic acid fitted by the Buchanan-three-phase model.

Durbin-Watson test

The Durbin-Watson statistic calculates the level of significance according to the method outlined by Draper and Smith [13].

$$d = \frac{\sum_{t=2}^T (\hat{e}_t - \hat{e}_{t-1})^2}{\sum_{t=1}^T \hat{e}_t^2} \quad (1)$$

The hypothesis $H_0: \rho = 0$ versus the alternative $H_1: \rho > 0$ is tested. The statistic is about equal to $2(1 - \rho)$. The Durbin-Watson test statistic equals 2 when the ρ value is zero while a ρ value of one equals a Durbin-Watson test statistic of 0. Non-autocorrelation is specified by a d value near 2 while a value towards 0 indicates positive autocorrelation. Negative autocorrelation is indicated by d values nearing 4 (Eqn. 1).

The null hypothesis should be rejected for a low value of the Durbin-Watson test statistic indicating significant autocorrelation. Unlike the t - or z -statistics, the distribution of the Durbin-Watson test statistic is not available for ρ -value associated with d and tables must be used in the hypothesis testing.

The decision rule for the Durbin-Watson bounds test is;

- if $d > \text{upper bound}$, fail to reject the null hypothesis of no serial correlation,
- if $d < \text{lower bound}$, reject the null hypothesis and conclude that positive autocorrelation is present,
- if lower bound $< d < \text{upper bound}$, the test is inconclusive.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The value of the Durbin-Watson statistics was $d = 0.007/0.004 = 1.820$. As usual the hypothesis $H_0: \rho = 0$ versus the alternative $H_1: \rho > 0$ is tested. The statistic is approximately equal to $2(1 - \rho)$. The Durbin-Watson test statistic equals 2 when the ρ value is zero while a ρ value of one equals a Durbin-Watson test statistic of 0. Non-autocorrelation is indicated by a d value near 2 while a value towards 0 indicates positive autocorrelation. Negative autocorrelation is indicated by d values nearing 4. The null hypothesis should be rejected for a low value of the Durbin-Watson test statistic indicating significant autocorrelation. Unlike the t - or z -statistics, the distribution of the Durbin-Watson test statistic is not available for ρ -value associated with d and tables must be used in the hypothesis testing. For a three-parameter model like Buchanan-three-phase model, the upper critical value d_U was 1.526 while the lower critical value d_L was 0.391. Since d was higher than the upper critical value then the null hypothesis that no positive autocorrelation exist is not rejected i.e. there appears to be no evidence of positive autocorrelation and the Buchanan-three-phase model used for fitting the growth curve was adequate.

Autocorrelation occurs when covariances of errors are not zero, a problem often seen in time series data such as microbial growth curves. A consequent of the presence of autocorrelation is that estimators for the models used even though are still considered linear and unbiased, but they there not efficient and not the best.

The runs test has additionally been utilized as a method to test for autocorrelation in time-series regression models. Nonetheless, simulation studies employing Monte Carlo have demonstrated that the runs test generates noticeably asymmetrical error rates in the two tails [16]. The investigation is carried out to analyse the empirical properties of the runs test utilizing (a) sample sizes of between 12 and 100 (b) using non-intervention and intervention regression models, (c) utilizing directional and nondirectional tests (d) with three levels of α , and (e) with 19 levels of autocorrelation among the errors. In addition, both directional and nondirectional tests produce no satisfactory results with respect to Type I error. The increase of the ratio of degrees of freedom to sample size to as high as .98 could also not remedy the situation. Hence, the Durbin-Watson method would be the method of choice to assess autocorrelation.

The Durbin-Watson statistic (DW) can calculate for the presence of serial correlation of residuals. Autocorrelation, also known as serial correlation, is the cross-correlation of a signal with itself. The DW is used to test whether a model has been successful in describing the underlying trend. Informally, it is the similarity between observations as a function of the time lag

between them. It is a mathematical tool for finding repeating patterns, such as the presence of a periodic signal obscured by noise [12,13,17].

References

- [1] Hamid AAA, Tengku Abdul Hamid TH, Wahab RA, Huyop F. Identification of functional residues essential for dehalogenation by the non-stereospecific α -haloalkanoic acid dehalogenase from *Rhizobium* sp. RC1. J Basic Microbiol. 2015;55(3):324–30.
- [2] Weightman AL, Weightman AJ, Slater JH. Microbial dehalogenation of trichloroacetic acid. World J Microbiol Biotechnol. 1992;8(5):512–8.
- [3] Yu P, Welander T. Growth of an aerobic bacterium with trichloroacetic acid as the sole source of energy and carbon. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 1995;42(5):769–74.
- [4] Olaniran AO, Babalola GO, Okoh AI. Aerobic dehalogenation potentials of four bacterial species isolated from soil and sewage sludge. Chemosphere. 2001;45(1):45–50.
- [5] McRae BM, Lapara TM, Hozalski RM. Biodegradation of haloacetic acids by bacterial enrichment cultures. Chemosphere. 2004;55(6):915–25.
- [6] Olaniran AO, Pillay D, Pillay B. Haloalkane and haloacid dehalogenases from aerobic bacterial isolates indigenous to contaminated sites in Africa demonstrate diverse substrate specificities. Chemosphere. 2004;55(1):27–33.
- [7] Torz MS, Yankov DS, Beschkov DN. Biodegradation of mono- and dihaloacetic acids by *Moraxella* sp. B. Comptes Rendus L'Academie Bulg Sci. 2006;59(3):295–300.
- [8] Alomar D, Abdul Hamid AA, Khosrowabadi E, Gicana RG, Lamis RJ, Huyop F, et al. Molecular characterization of monochloroacetate-degrading *Arthrobacter* sp. Strain d2 isolated from Universiti Teknologi Malaysia agricultural area. Bioremediation J. 2014;18(1):12–9.
- [9] Tonomura K, Kawasaki H, Tone N, Yahara H. Plasmid encoding mercury reductase and haloacetate halohydrase in drug-resistant bacteria. J Pharmacobiodyn. 1981;4(4).
- [10] Liu J-Q, Kurihara T, Ichiyama S, Miyagi M, Tsunasawa S, Kawasaki H, et al. Reaction mechanism of fluoroacetate dehalogenase from *Moraxella* sp. B. J Biol Chem. 1998;273(47):30897–902.
- [11] Shukor MS. Modelling the growth of *Moraxella* sp. B on Monobromoacetic acid (MBA). Bull Environ Sci Manag. 2015;3(1):1–6.
- [12] McDonald JH, Dunn KW. Statistical tests for measures of colocalization in biological microscopy. J Microsc. 2013;252(3):295–302.
- [13] Draper NR, Smith H. Applied Regression Analysis. Wiley, New York; 1981.
- [14] Rohatgi, A. WebPlotDigitizer. <http://arohatgi.info/WebPlotDigitizer/app/> Accessed June 2 2014.;
- [15] Halmi MIE, Shukor MS, Johari WLW, Shukor MY. Evaluation of several mathematical models for fitting the growth of the algae *Dunaliella tertiolecta*. Asian J Plant Biol. 2014;2(1):1–6.
- [16] Huitema BE, McKean JW, Zhao J. The runs test for autocorrelated errors: unacceptable properties. J Educ Behav Stat. 1996;21(4):390–404.
- [17] Motulsky HJ, Ransnas LA. Fitting curves to data using nonlinear regression: a practical and nonmathematical review. FASEB J Off Publ Fed Am Soc Exp Biol. 1987;1(5):365–74.